

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

SOUTH CENTRAL BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY'S)	
PROPOSED ESSX INTEGRATED SERVICES)	CASE NO. 92-090
DIGITAL NETWORK TARIFF)	

O R D E R

On March 9, 1992, South Central Bell Telephone Company ("South Central Bell") filed a proposed tariff with the Commission for the purpose of introducing ESSX Integrated Services Digital Network. On April 9, 1992, the Commission issued an Order suspending the proposed tariff until September 8, 1992.

IT IS ORDERED that South Central Bell shall file the original and 12 copies of the following information with the Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure that it is legible.

The information requested is due no later than June 9, 1992. If the information cannot be provided by this date, a motion for an extension of time must be submitted stating the reason for the

delay and the date by which the information can be furnished.
Such motion will be considered by the Commission.

1. For section D, page 2-5 worksheet 200 cost summary:

a. Explain the difference between directly and fully assigned.

b. Explain why recurring costs are greater for directly vs. fully assigned.

2. For section D, page 6, worksheet 220 cost meld, fully explain how the particular percentages for 5ESS (34 percent) and DMS-100 (66 percent) were derived.

3. For section D, pages 7-10, Kentucky cost study factors:

a. Explain each of the factors and their derivation.

b. Explain the reason for the difference between the entries on pages 7 and 8 for Administrative Factor category (0.0302 vs. 0.0693).

4. For pages 13 and 14, section D, explain why the distance sensitive loop costs for the directly and fully assigned categories differ.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 19th day of May, 1992.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:


Executive Director